DAVID J. MEYER VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF COUNSEL FOR REGULATORY & GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS AVISTA CORPORATION P.O. BOX 3727 1411 EAST MISSION AVENUE SPOKANE, WASHINGTON 99220-3727 TELEPHONE: (509) 495-4316 FACSIMILE: (509) 495-8851 DAVID.MEYER@AVISTACORP.COM

BEFORE THE IDAHO PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

)

)

) )

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) CASE NO. AVU-E-17-01 OF AVISTA CORPORATION FOR THE ) CASE NO. AVU-G-17-01 AUTHORITY TO INCREASE ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS SERVICE TO ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS CUSTOMERS IN THE ) STATE OF IDAHO

) DIRECT TESTIMONY OF KAREN K. SCHUH

FOR AVISTA CORPORATION

(ELECTRIC AND NATURAL GAS)

1	I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q. Please state your name, employer and business
3	address.
4	A. My name is Karen K. Schuh. I am employed by Avista
5	Corporation as a Senior Regulatory Analyst in the State and
6	Federal Regulation Department. My business address is 1411 East
7	Mission, Spokane, Washington.
8	Q. Please briefly describe your educational background
9	and professional experience.
10	A. I graduated from Eastern Washington University in
11	1999 with a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Business Administration,
12	majoring in Accounting. After spending six years in the public
13	accounting sector, I joined Avista in January of 2006. Since
14	2006, I have worked in various positions within the Company in
15	the Finance Department (Plant Accounting and Resource
16	Accounting) and joined the State and Federal Regulation
17	Department as a Regulatory Analyst in 2008. Currently, as a
18	Senior Regulatory Analyst, I am responsible for, among other
19	things, preparing the capital adjustments in general rate cases
20	for the Idaho and Washington jurisdictions.
21	Q. What is the scope of your testimony?
22	A. My testimony and exhibits in this proceeding will
23	explain how the Company's capital investments in utility plant
24	from December 31, 2016 through December 31, 2019 are
	Schlect, Di 1

incorporated into the proposed revenue requirements in this case. Company witness Ms. Andrews has included adjustments prepared by me to reflect these investments in her electric and natural gas revenue requirement for the Company's Two-Year Rate Plan beginning January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2019.

6 A table of contents for my testimony is as follows:

7	Tabl	Le of Contents	Page
8	I.	INTRODUCTION	1
9	II.	WITNESSES TESTIFYING TO CAPITAL ADDITIONS	3
10	III.	CAPITAL ADJUSTMENTS	5
11	IV.	DEPRECIATION STUDY	9
12	V.	REPORTING FOR CAPITAL ADDITIONS	11

13

14

### Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?

A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit No. 11, Schedule 1 which was prepared by me. This exhibit provides a summary of the capital investments included in each of the capital witnesses testimony by year.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Company witnesses Mr. Kinney, Ms. Rosentrater and Mr. Kensok sponsor testimony explaining the Company's capital investments.

1

#### **II. WITNESSES TESTIFYING TO CAPITAL ADDITIONS**

2 Q. Would you please provide a brief summary of the 3 witnesses who provide testimony related to capital additions in 4 this proceeding?

5 A. Yes. The following witnesses are presenting direct 6 testimony supporting capital additions in this case:

7 <u>Mr. Scott Kinney</u>, Director of Power Supply, will provide 8 detailed explanations of the Company's electric generation-9 related capital additions as well as the capital requirements 10 for the implementation of Protection, Mitigation and 11 Enhancement programs ("PM&E"), related to hydroelectric 12 licenses.

Ms. Heather Rosentrater, Vice President of Energy Delivery, will explain capital additions related to electric transmission and distribution, natural gas delivery, facilities, fleet, as well as general plant.

<u>Mr. James Kensok</u>, Vice President and Chief Information and Security Officer, will provide an overview of Avista's Information Service/Information Technology (IS/IT) programs and projects. This includes summaries of the Company's capital investments for a range of IS/IT systems used by the Company.

## Q. How have capital witnesses presented the transfersto-plant in their testimony?

1 Α. Mr. Kinney, Ms. Rosentrater and Mr. Kensok present 2 capital transfers-to-plant on a calendar year basis from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019 on a total system 3 basis (i.e, the totals include all planned transfers to plant 4 for electric and natural gas operations for the Idaho, 5 6 Washington and Oregon jurisdictions). A detailed listing of 7 project names and calendar year totals can be found in Exhibit 8 No. 11, Schedule 1.

9 Table No. 1 below reflects the calendar year transfers to 10 plant totals that are represented in each witness' testimony:

TABLE NO. 1Capital Projects (System) in \$(000's)					
Generation/ Production	Mr. Kinney	66,135	59 <b>,</b> 718	87,196	
Transmission	Ms. Rosentrater	79 <b>,</b> 303	60,416	79,814	
Electric Distribution	Ms. Rosentrater	77 <b>,</b> 575	70 <b>,</b> 528	70,871	
Natural Gas Distribution	Ms. Rosentrater	76,811	68,024	74,793	
General Plant	Ms. Rosentrater	57 <b>,</b> 330	44,880	6,060	
Other Plant	Ms. Rosentrater	9,616	9,412	9,333	
Enterprise Technology	Mr. Kensok	63,461	49,534	33,422	
Total		\$ 430,230	\$ 362,513	\$ 361,489	

Q. Company Witness Mr. Thies makes reference to planned capital expenditures of \$405 million per year. Why do the annual totals in Table No. 1 differ from the \$405 million planned expenditures?

A. There are two primary reasons. First, totals in Table No. 1 above represent <u>transfers-to-plant</u>, whereas, Mr. Thies' \$405 million represents capital <u>expenditures</u>. There is a timing difference between when the dollars are spent, and when the 1 various capital projects are completed and transferred to
2 plant-in-service.

3	Second, Mr. Thies' \$405 million includes the investment
4	associated with Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMI"), and
5	Table No. 1 excludes the investment associated with AMI, except
6	for the inclusion of the Company's meter data management project
7	expected to be in-service in 2017. The remainder of the AMI
8	investment is related to the Company's Washington jurisdiction
9	and has been excluded from Table No. 1 and excluded this case.
10	

11

III. CAPITAL ADJUSTMENTS

# Q. How were the capital additions for the Two-Year Rate Plan developed in this case?

14

15

A. Summarized below in Table No. 2 are the <u>electric</u> capital adjustments I have prepared for the Two-Year Rate Plan:

	Table No. 2					
16 Idaho Electric Adjustments in \$(000's)						
		Plant in	Accumulated	Deferred		
17	Adj #	Service	Depreciation	Taxes	Rate Base	
18	Rate Year 1 (2018)					
τO	2016 AMA Balance (Test Year)	1,382,037	(491,764)	(181,780)	708,493	
19	2016 AMA-EOP Adjustment 1.03	44,531	(7,016)	(9,388)	28,127	
19	2017 EOP Adjustment 3.08	80,408	(34,216)	(15,592)	30,600	
20	Rate Year 1	1,506,976	(532,996)	(206,760)	767,220	
21	Rate Year 2 (2019)					
Ζ⊥	2017 EOP Balance	1,506,976	(532,996)	(206,760)	767,220	
<u></u>	2018 AMA Adjustment 19.01	26,854	(19,992)	(7,411)	(549)	
22	2018 EOP Adjustment 19.02	49,825	(19,992)	(7,411)	22,422	
0.0	2019 AMA Adjustment 19.03	21,327	(22,208)	(6,006)	(6,887)	
23	Rate year 2	1,604,982	(595 <b>,</b> 188)	(227,588)	782,206	

24

Summarized in Table No. 3 below are the natural gas capital

#### 2 adjustments I have prepared for the Two-Year Rate plan:

3			Table No.	3		
Idaho Natural Gas Adjustments in \$(000's)					)'s)	
4						
5		Adj #		Accumulated Depreciation		Rate Base
-		1145 #	5017100	Depreciation	TURED	hate base
6	Rate Year 1 (2018)					
	2016 AMA Balance (Test Yea	r)	244,550	(80,795)	(34,956)	128,799
7	2016 AMA-EOP Adjustment	1.03	5,028	(2,816)	8	2,220
	2017 EOP Adjustment	3.06	13,303	(6,418)	(2,852)	4,033
8	Rate Year 1		262,881	(90,029)	(37,800)	135,052
9						
	Rate Year 2 (2019)					
10	2017 EOP Balance		262,881	(90,029)	(37,800)	135,052
ŦŬ	2018 AMA Adjustment	19.01	4,975	(3,850)	(1,317)	(192)
11	2018 EOP Adjustment	19.02	9,271	(3,850)	(1,443)	3,978
± ±	2019 AMA Adjustment	19.03	2,553	(4,347)	(352)	(2,146)
12	Rate year 2	-	279,680	(102,076)	(40,912)	136,692

13

1

14 transfers-to-plant adjustments presented The in my 15 testimony, and reflected in the Two-Year Rate Plan, have been 16 included using Idaho's share (electric and natural gas). Mr. 17 Kinney, Ms. Rosentrater and Mr. Kensok discuss their respective 18 area transfers-to-plant on a system basis for each calendar year, and I have incorporated the Idaho share of these 19 investments for the Two-Year Rate Plan beginning January 1, 20 21 2018.

As in prior rate cases, Avista started with rate base for the historical test year, which, for this case, is the averageof-monthly-averages ("AMA") for the twelve months-ended 1 December 31, 2016, making the following adjustments as 2 described below:

(1) 2016 Plant In Service - The 2016 AMA plant in service 3 balance is adjusted to a 2017 EOP balance for Rate-4 5 Year 1, and then to a 2019 AMA balance for Rate Year 6 2. This is done by first walking forward the 7 accumulated depreciation ("AD") and accumulated 8 deferred federal income taxes ("ADFIT") to a 2016 EOP 9 balance, then to a 2017 EOP balance, which is 10 incorporated into the Rate Year 1 rate based 11 calculation for retail rates effective January 1, 2018. For Rate Year 2, beginning January 1, 2019, the 12 13 2017 EOP rate base is adjusted to a 2018 AMA balance, next to a 2018 EOP balance and finally, to a 2019 AMA 14 15 balance.

(2) <u>2017 Capital Additions EOP Basis</u> - This adjustment
 adds capital additions to plant in service during
 2017,<sup>2</sup> including the AD, depreciation expense and
 ADFIT associated with these additions, on a 2017 EOP
 basis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For each of the rate base adjustments for the periods 2016 AMA through 2019 AMA, distribution-related capital expenditures associated with connecting new customers to the Company's system were excluded. The Pro Forma adjustments do not include the increase in revenues from growth in the number of customers from the historical test year to the 2018 and 2019 rate years, and therefore, the growth in plant investment associated with customer growth should also be excluded.

Also included is an adjustment for the impact of asset retirements in 2017.<sup>3</sup> This adjustment also includes annualizing depreciation expense on the plant-inservice at December 31, 2017. These additions are also carried forward to each adjustment discussed below to a 2018 AMA, 2018 EOP and 2019 AMA basis by extending AD, and ADFIT balances.

- (3) 2018 Capital Additions AMA Basis - This adjustment 8 9 adds the capital additions to plant-in-service during 2018 on an AMA basis. This adjustment includes the 10 depreciation expense, AD and ADFIT associated with 11 these additions on an AMA basis. This also includes 12 13 an adjustment for the impact of asset retirements in 14 2018.<sup>4</sup> These additions are also carried forward to the 2019 AMA rate base calculation, by extending AD 15 16 and ADFIT balances.
- (4) <u>2018 Capital Additions EOP Basis</u> This adjustment
   modifies the capital additions to plant in service
   during 2018 to reflect a 2018 EOP basis. This
   adjustment includes the depreciation expense, AD and

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  The 2016 test year and the adjustment from AMA 2016 to EOP 2016 captures the impacts of retirements for 2016. The adjustment to capital rate base for 2017 through 2019 includes reducing rate base and depreciation expense for the impact of retirements.  $^4$  Ibid.

1 ADFIT associated with these additions on an EOP 2 basis. This also includes an adjustment for the 3 impact of asset retirements in 2018.<sup>5</sup> These additions 4 are also carried forward to the 2019 AMA rate base 5 calculation, by extending AD and ADFIT balances.

6 (5) <u>2019 Capital Additions AMA Basis</u> - This adjustment 7 adds the capital additions to plant-in-service during 8 2019 on an AMA basis. This adjustment includes the 9 depreciation expense, AD and ADFIT associated with 10 these additions. This also includes an adjustment for 11 the impact of asset retirements in 2019.<sup>6</sup>

In addition to the explanation of adjustments provided herein, the Company has also provided workpapers, both in hard copy and electronic formats, outlining the additional details related to each of the adjustments.

- 16
- 17

#### IV. DEPRECIATION STUDY

18 Q. What is Avista's plans for its next depreciation 19 study?

A. Avista's next depreciation study is currently underway and is expected to be completed towards the end of After completion of this study the Company will file a

<sup>5</sup> Ibid.

<sup>6</sup> Ibid.

petition in all of its jurisdictions to request to change
 depreciation rates as determined by this study.

3

### Q. Why is this depreciation study being performed?

The objective of a depreciation study is to recommend 4 Α. 5 depreciation rates to be utilized by Avista for accounting and 6 ratemaking purposes. Also, it is sound accounting practice to 7 periodically update depreciation rates to recognize additions 8 to investment in plant assets and to reflect changes in asset 9 characteristics, technology, salvage, removal costs, life span 10 estimates and other factors that impact depreciation rate The Company last changed its depreciation rates 11 calculations. in Idaho effective January 1, 2013 for Common Plant and April 12 13 2, 2013 for Idaho Direct Plant, per Order No. 32769 in Docket 14 Nos. AVU-E-12-08 and AVU-G-12-07. The depreciation rates 15 approved by the Commission were developed from a study based on 16 depreciable plant balances December 31. 2011 at for 17 Transportation assets and December 31, 2010 for all other 18 assets. The Company typically conducts depreciation studies at 19 approximately five-year intervals. For the current study, 20 Avista hired Gannett Fleming, Inc. to undertake a depreciation study of its depreciable electric, natural gas and general plant 21 22 in service as of December 31, 2016.

23 Q. Is it important to maintain uniform depreciation 24 rates on common plant by the Company's three jurisdictions?

> Schuh, Di 10 Avista Corporation

1 Α. Avista will be making similar depreciation Yes. 2 filings with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission and the Public Utility Commission of Oregon. It is 3 important that the Company maintain uniform plant accounts and 4 5 depreciation rates on common plant that are allocated to the 6 various services and jurisdictions in which the Company 7 operates. In the event different depreciation rates or methods 8 were to be ordered, it would result in multiple sets of 9 depreciation accounts and records that would need to be adjusted 10 annually for changes in allocation factors. This would impose a costly administrative burden on the Company and unnecessary 11 12 expense for the Company's customers.

13

14

#### V. REPORTING FOR CAPITAL ADDITIONS

Q. Is the Company proposing to report to the Commission on completed capital additions as part of its proposed Two-Year Rate Plan?

18 Yes. For the Rate Year effective January 1, 2019, the Α. 19 Company is proposing to file with this Commission an "Idaho Electric and Natural Gas Capital Report" by October 15, 20 21 2018 (approximately 75 days) prior to new rates going into 22 effect. The annual report would provide actual balances through August 31, 2018 with estimated balances through December 31, 23 24 2018, and updated estimates for 2019 on an AMA basis. (EOP net

plant balances including impact of A/D and ADFIT). This would provide updated information to the Commission regarding the net plant which will be in-service and serving customers prior to new rates going into effect beginning January 1, 2019. This report will include project detailed information regarding transfers-to-plant.

7

8

Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?A. Yes, it does.